

In the High Court of Punjab and Haryana at Chandigarh

**CRWP No. 9034 of 2021
Date of Decision: 05.4.2022**

Kanwal Midha

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURESHWAR THAKUR

Present: Mr. Vaibhav Sehgal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Bhavna Gupta, DAG, Punjab.

SURESHWAR THAKUR, J. (ORAL)

1. The petitioner is lawfully wedded to co-respondent No. 4. However, their marital ties are beset with a trauma, and, both are living separately, however, in the same building, and, on different floors. The petitioner apprehends life endangering assaults, being made, upon her by co-respondent No. 4, and, prays that for warding them off, adequate security be granted to her, by co-respondents No. 2 and 3.

2. Though, in the reply to the petition, filed on behalf of the State, it is mentioned, that for ebbing the re-occurrence of assault, if any, which was previously made, proceedings under Sections 107/150 of Cr.P.C., have been initiated, against co-respondent No. 4, before the learned Magistrate concerned. Though, the afore may be a sufficient recouring by the respondents concerned, to curb the alleged menace, indulged into by co-respondent No. 4, yet it is also reflects an acquiescence on the part of the respondents concerned, that there exists the possibility of threats

being potentialised by co-respondent No. 4. Therefore, apart from the afore drawn proceedings against co-respondent No. 4, co-respondent No. 3 is directed to forthwith supply to the petitioner, his mobile number, so that as and when any untoward incident occurs, thereupon, upon the petitioner making contact with him, on his mobile number, he shall forthwith proceed to the premises concerned, and, if in his wisdom, the ill incident is likely to re-occur, thereupon he shall provide adequate security to her.

3. The petition is disposed of.

(SURESHWAR THAKUR)
JUDGE

April 05, 2022
Gurpreet

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No