

133

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-17708-2022

Date of Decision: 10.08.2022

Mukat Singh

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Mazlish Khan, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that though the petitioner was sent on deputation by the respondents for a fixed period of time, which will expire in March, 2023, but the petitioner had made a request before the respondents that his said deputation period may be curtailed and he may be posted back to the parent Department, so that he can participate in the transfer drive.

Learned counsel for the petitioner argues that similarly situated employees have already been granted the aforesaid benefit by the respondents by cancelling their deputation period, but the said benefit has not been extended to the petitioner, which action of the respondents has rendered the petitioner ineligible to participate in the transfer drive.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Harish Nain, AAG, Haryana, keeping in view the advance service of the copy of petition, accepts notice on behalf of the State and submits that prayer of the petitioner in the present petition is only for

allowing him to participate in the transfer drive and no request for cancelling the deputation period has ever been made by the petitioner before the respondents.

Learned State counsel further submits that if the petitioner has any intention for getting his deputation period cancelled, he should approach the authorities concerned by way of filing an appropriate representation in this regard and in case any such representation is filed by the petitioner, the same will be considered in accordance with law and an appropriate speaking order will be passed within a period of two months from the date of receipt of such representation.

At this stage, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that keeping in view the submissions of learned State counsel, as recorded hereinbefore, no further grievance of the petitioner survives in the present petition at this stage and the same may kindly be disposed of as having been not pressed any further at this stage with liberty to the petitioner to approach the respondents for redressal of his grievances, as being raised hereinbefore on behalf of the petitioner, by way of filing an appropriate representation.

Ordered accordingly.

10.08.2022

Apurva

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

1. Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
2. Whether reportable : Yes/No