

109 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH

COCN-2037-2021

Date of Decision : 11.02.2022

Anoop Kumar

...Petitioner

versus

Sanjeev Kumar Dubey and another

....Respondents

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. Walia

Present : Mr. Rahul Sharma, Advocate for the petitioner.  
Mr. Saurav Verma, Advocate for the respondents.  
\*\*\*

**B.S. Walia, J. (VC)**

1. Case is being taken up for hearing through Video Conferencing due to Covid-19 pandemic.

2. Prayer in the petition under Section 12 of the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971 is for initiating proceedings against the respondents for intentional and willful defiance of order, Annexure P/1 dated 25.02.2020, in CWP-4087-2017.

3. A perusal of order Annexure P/1 dated 25.02.2020, reveals that the petitioner-management was directed to release wages payable to the petitioner herein under Section 17B, Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, within three months from the date of receipt of certified copy of the order and on account of failure of the respondents to do the needful, the instant petition was filed.

4. Learned Counsel for the respondents states that LPA No. 667/2020, challenging order Annexure P/1 dated 25.02.2020, has been dismissed vide order dated 07.02.2020 and in the circumstances payment due to the petitioner as per his entitlement under Section 17B of

the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 will be released within two weeks.

5. Learned Counsel for the petitioner, states that in view of the statement of learned counsel for the respondents he does not press the contempt petition and the same may be disposed of as such.

6. Accordingly, in the light of position noted above as well as statement of learned counsel for the parties, the contempt petition is disposed of as not calling for any action against the respondents under the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971, while directing the respondents to adhere to the statement made by learned counsel to comply with order Annexure P/1 dated 25.02.2020, in CWP-4087-2017 and to release payment due to the petitioner as per his entitlement under Section 17B of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, within two weeks from today. Needless to mention, in case the needful is not done within the stipulated period of time, the petitioner would be at liberty to move an application for revival of the contempt petition in which eventuality, a serious view would be taken in the matter.

**(B.S. Walia)**  
**Judge**

17.01.2022  
rajesh

|                            |   |        |
|----------------------------|---|--------|
| Whether speaking/ reasoned | : | Yes/No |
| Whether reportable         | : | Yes/No |