

125

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-17580-2022

Date of Decision: 10.08.2022

Raj Babu

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Anil Kumar Sharma, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner argues that the petitioner was entitled for the grant of promotion to the post of TGT (English), but despite he being fully eligible for the grant of said benefit, it was not extended to him at the time when the employees, who were junior to him, were promoted to the said post in July, 2018, by the respondents.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that once an employee, who is junior to the petitioner, has been extended the benefit of promotion to the post of TGT (English), the petitioner becomes entitled for the grant of said benefit, starting from the date the employee junior to him was extended the said benefit, and therefore, the respondents are under an obligation to grant the benefit of promotion to the petitioner to the post of TGT (English), with effect from the date when the employee junior to him was extended the said benefit.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the same grievance has also been raised by the petitioner before respondent No.2 by

serving legal notice dated 21.04.2022 (Annexure P-15), which is still pending consideration with the authorities concerned and the petitioner will be satisfied at this stage, in case a time bound direction is given to respondent No.2 to decide the legal notice dated 21.04.2022, by passing an appropriate speaking order.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Harish Nain, AAG, Haryana, keeping in view the advance service of the copy of petition, accepts notice on behalf of the State and raises no objection in case prayer made hereinbefore on behalf of the petitioner to decide the legal notice dated 21.04.2022 (Annexure P-15) in a time bound manner is accepted.

Keeping in view the facts and circumstances of this case and without going into merits of the claim as raised by the petitioner in the present case as well as in the legal notice dated 21.04.2022 (Annexure P-15), this petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 to pass an appropriate speaking order on the legal notice dated 21.04.2022 (Annexure P-15), filed by the petitioner, within a period of eight weeks from the date of receipt of the copy of this order.

10.08.2022
Apurva

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

1. Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
2. Whether reportable : Yes/No