

In the High Court of Punjab and Haryana at Chandigarh

116

CWP-19563-2022 (O & M)

Date of Decision: September 08, 2022

RAM NIWAS

.....PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

....RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present: Mr. Aditya Yadav, Advocate and
Mr. Shakti Singh, Advocate for the petitioner.

ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL, J (ORAL)

The petitioner has challenged the order dated 08.06.2022 whereby his claim for benefit of 2nd ACP and 3rd ACP has been rejected.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is stated to have been promoted as Bill Distributor in the pay scale of 3050-4500 but there is no post of Bill Distributor and this was only higher pay scale on account of the pay revision. The petitioner was entitled to the higher financial upgradation, which has been denied to him.

Heard.

The petitioner has been appointed as Chowkidar in the pay scale of 2650-4000 on 12.06.1997. He has stated to have been promoted as Bill Distributor on 29.03.2000 in the pay scale of 3050-4500. He was allowed the deemed date of promotion to the post of LDC in the pay scale of 4000-6000 on 24.07.2002. On account of want of further promotion, the first ACP had been granted to him in the year 2012 by raising his grade pay from Rs.2400 to 3200 with effect from 01.10.2012 vide order passed on 24.11.2016. The intent

behind ACP scheme is to remove hardship to the employees for want of promotion and stagnation in service. The petitioner had been granted higher pay scales periodically and had also been granted the benefit of first ACP by raising his grade pay from 2400 to 3200. Therefore, I do not find any merit in this petition.

The petition stands dismissed.

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

September 08, 2022
A.Kaundal

Whether speaking/ reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	:	Yes/No