

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(104)

CWP-18682-2021

Date of Decision : September 05, 2022

Sanjay Kumar

.. Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.. Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: None for the petitioner.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI J. (ORAL)

In the present petition, the grievance of the petitioner is that after the Mewat District School Education (Group B) Service Rules, 2012 and Haryana Education School Cadre Rules, 2012 came into force, the petitioner who was already in service at the relevant time, was not given any opportunity to submit the option so as to opt for District Mewat for which he was entitled under the above mentioned rules and therefore, he should be given an option to be considered for the Mewat cadre.

As per the pleading of the case, due to the non-extending of the said benefit, the petitioner is suffering prejudice and the said grievance was raised by the petitioner before the authorities concerned by way of representation dated 18.11.2020, a copy of which has been appended as

raised a grievance that his representation has not been decided so far by the respondents.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Harish Nain, learned Assistant Advocate General, Haryana, who is present in the Court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents.

Learned counsel for the respondents submits that in case, representation dated 18.11.2020 (Annexure P-4) has not been decided so far, appropriate order on the said representation will be passed by the respondents within a period of eight weeks from the receipt of copy of this order.

Keeping in view the above, no grievance of the petitioner survives in the present petition and the present petition is disposed of keeping in view the undertaking of the learned counsel for the respondents as recorded hereinbefore.

September 05, 2022
harsha

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes
Whether reportable : No