

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(110)

CM No. 12611-CWP-2022 in/and
CWP No. 17560 of 2022
Date of Decision : 23.08.2022

Vikram Jeet and others

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and another

..Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Anil Kumar Sharma, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Harish Nain, Assistant Advocate General, Haryana.

Harsimran Singh Sethi J. (Oral)

CM No. 12611-CWP-2022

Present application has been filed for preponing the date of hearing of the main petition i.e. CWP No. 17560 of 2022, which stands adjourned to 15.09.2022.

Notice of the application to the counsel opposite.

Mr. Harish Nain, learned Assistant Advocate General, Haryana, who is present in Court, accepts notice on behalf of respondent-State and raises no objection for the grant of prayer as raised in the present application.

Keeping in view the above, application is allowed and the hearing of the main petition i.e. CWP No. 17560 of 2022 is preponed from 15.09.2022 to today.

CWP No. 17560 of 2022

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners

have a grievance with regard to their compulsory participation in the transfer drive keeping in view the fact of each petitioner as stated in the petition. Learned counsel submits that some of the petitioners have a grievance that as per the transfer policy they are entitled for the marks keeping in view the ailment being suffered either by them or their family members or on some other valid ground as permissible in the transfer policy.

Learned counsel for the petitioners further submits that five of the petitioners have a grievance that their zone has not been fixed properly, which is causing prejudice to them. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners have already raised the said grievance before the authorities concerned by filing representations and they will be satisfied, at this stage, in case a time bound direction is issued to decide the said plea of the petitioners.

Learned State counsel submits that though a reply has already been filed but he has instructions to submit that the representations filed by the petitioners Annexures P-8 to P-11 will be decided within a period of seven days from today and the transfer, if issued in the meanwhile, will only be implemented after the passing of the order on their representations.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that keeping in view the statement of learned State counsel, the present petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further.

Ordered accordingly.

August 23, 2022
kanchan

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes
Whether reportable : No