

CRR-1739-2019(O&M)

Date of decision: 06.04.2022

AMRISH @ AMREESH KUMAR

...PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA

...RESPONDENT

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIVEK PURIPresent: Mr. Sandeep Verma, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Vikas Bhardwaj, AAG, Haryana.

VIVEK PURI,J. (ORAL)

The petitioner has challenged the order dated 11.03.2019 passed by the learned trial Court vide which the charge under Section 452/506 IPC and 8 of POCSO Act has been framed against him.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner has been acquitted by the trial Court and the present petition has been rendered infructuous.

Dismissed as having been rendered infructuous.

06.04.2022

renubala

(VIVEK PURI)

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether reportable: Yes/No