

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

137

CWP-17817-2022

DATE OF DECISION: 16.08.2022

HARIOM

... Petitioner(s)

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

... Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present: Mr. Sarfraj Hussain, Advocate for the petitioner.

ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL, J. (ORAL)

The petitioner is seeking quashing of the impugned result dated 06.07.2022 (Annexure P-6).

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner has secured 48 marks and has erroneously not been granted 5 marks on account of socio economic criteria as no member of his family has a job in government/public sector undertaking. He also contends that in the event of the petitioner being granted 5 marks, he would get 53 marks which are more than the marks obtained by the last selected candidate. He also submits that the petitioner has sent a representation (Annexure P-10) in this regard but no action has been taken thereon and prays that the petition may be disposed of with a direction to the respondents to consider and decide the same.

Issue notice to the respondents.

At the asking of the Court, Mr. Gaurav Jindal, Addl. AG, Haryana accepts notice on behalf of the respondents.

Heard.

The petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.1 to consider and decide the representation of the petitioner (Annexure P-10) within a period of 10 days from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order. In case the petitioner is found to be meritorious he would be considered for selection.

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

16.08.2022

SwarnjitS

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes / No
Whether reportable : Yes / No