

CRM-M-35865-2022

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-35865-2022

Date of decision: 22.08.2022

Rajwinder Singh @ Ghona

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARNARESH SINGH GILL

Present:- Ms. Monika Thakur, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Adhiraj Singh, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. Anil Kumar, Advocate for
Mr. Nikhil Kumar Vashisht, Advocate,
for the complainant.

HARNARESH SINGH GILL, J. (ORAL)

Through this petition, the petitioner seeks regular bail in case bearing FIR No.196 dated 04.08.2021, registered at Police Station Division No.6, Ludhiana, under Sections 408, 420, 467, 468, 471 and 120-B IPC.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner has falsely been implicated in the present case; that the petitioner had not forged any attendance list or any seal; that the petitioner has been in custody since 12.10.2021, and that co-accused, namely, Narinder Kaur alias Maninder Kaur and Pritam Singh have since been granted the concession of regular bail by a Coordinate Bench and this Court, respectively.

CRM-M-35865-2022

On the other hand, learned State counsel, and the learned counsel for the complainant, while opposing the grant of bail to the petitioner, submit that the petitioner while working as a Field Officer with the Indian Security Services, had forged the attendance list of the employees and got credited their salaries in the bank accounts of his close relatives, including his wife, father and son and that all the accused had caused a loss to the tune of Rs.56 lakh approximately to the complainant.

I have heard the learned counsel for the parties.

The above-noted FIR has been got registered by the complainant, proprietor of Indian Security Services. As per the prosecution version, the petitioner was working as a Field Officer with the complainant; that his profile was to collect the payment from the clients of the Company and to prepare the salary slips of its employees by collecting attendance lists and then to get credited the same in the accounts of the employees. There are serious allegations against the petitioner that he had forged the attendance list of the employees and got credited their salaries in the bank accounts of his relatives and thus, the petitioner in connivance with the co-accused had cheated the complainant to the lakhs of rupees.

The petitioner cannot claim parity with the co-accused, who have since been granted the concession of bail, for the reason that they had never worked with the Company.

Keeping in view the nature and gravity of the offence, this Court finds that the petitioner is not entitled for the benefit of regular bail.

CRM-M-35865-2022

Therefore, finding no merit in the present petition, the same
is dismissed.

22.08.2022
parveen kumar

(HARNARESH SINGH GILL)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking?	Yes/No
Whether reportable?	Yes/No