

251

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-34254-2020
DECIDED ON: 25th AUGUST, 2022**

VIKASH AND OTHERS

PETITIONERS

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA AND ANOTHER

RESPONDENTS

AND

CRM-M-35275-2020

RAMESH KUMAR AND OTHERS

PETITIONERS

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA AND ANOTHER

RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP MOUDGIL.

Present: Mr. Rajesh Duhan, Advocate
for the petitioners (in CRM-M-34254-2020)
for respondents No. 2 to 4 (in CRM-M-35275-2020)

Mr. Jitender Nara, Advocate
for the petitioners (in CRM-M-35275-2020) and
for respondents No. 3 to 12 (in CRM-M-34254-2020)

Mr. Chetan Sharma, AAG, Haryana.

SANDEEP MOUDGIL, J (ORAL)

The afore-said petitions have been filed under Section 482

323, 341, 506 and 34 IPC, registered at Police Station Jhajjar, District Jhajjar and the cross-case bearing FIR No. 364, dated 19.12.2019, under Sections 148, 149, 452, 323, 325, 341 and 506 IPC, registered at Police Station Jhajjar, District Jhajjar and all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise dated 22.06.2020 (Annexure P-2), in both the petitions.

During the pendency of the dispute, the parties have compromised the matter and filed the afore-said petitions for quashing of FIR.

Vide order dated 03.12.2020 parties were directed to appear before the Illaqa Magistrate/Trial Court and for report with regard to the genuineness of the compromise.

The report dated 03.02.2021 has been received from learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Jhajjar stating that the parties have entered into a compromise voluntarily, which is genuine.

Full Bench of this Court in ***Kulwinder Singh and others vs. State of Punjab, 2007 (3) RCR (Criminal) 1052***, has held:-

“The only inevitable conclusion from the above discussion is that there is no statutory bar under the Cr.P.C. which can affect the inherent power of this Court under Section 482. Further, the same cannot be limited to matrimonial cases alone and the Court has the wide power to quash the proceedings even in noncompoundable offences notwithstanding the bar under Section 320 of the Cr.P.C., in order to prevent the abuse of law and to secure the ends of justice.

The power under Section 482 of the Cr.P.C. is to be

exercised Ex-Debitia Justitia to prevent an abuse of process of Court. There can neither be an exhaustive list nor the defined para-meters to enable a High Court to invoke or exercise its inherent powers. It will always depend upon the facts and circumstances of each case. The power under Section 482 of the Cr.P.C. has no limits. However, the High Court will exercise it sparingly and with utmost care and caution. The exercise of power has to be with circumspection and restraint. The Court is a vital and an extra-ordinary effective instrument to maintain and control social order. The Courts play role of paramount importance in achieving peace, harmony and everlasting congeniality in society. Resolution of a dispute by way of a compromise between two warring groups, therefore, should attract the immediate and prompt attention of a Court which should endeavour to give full effect to the same unless such compromise is abhorrent to lawful composition of the society or would promote savagery.”

The legal principles as laid down for quashing of the judgment were also approved by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the matter of **'Gian Singh Versus State of Punjab and another,(2012) 10 SCC 303'**. Furthermore, the broad principles for exercising the powers under Section 482 were summarized by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the matter of **'Parbatbhai Aahir @ Parbatbhai Bhimsinhbhai Karmur and others versus State of Gujarat and another” (2017) 9 SCC 641'**.

It is evident that in view of the amicable resolution of the issues amongst the parties, no useful purpose would be served by continuation of the proceedings. The furtherance of the proceedings is likely to be a waste of judicial time and there appears to be no chances of conviction.

No. 300, dated 30.09.2019, under Sections 323, 341, 506 and 34 IPC, registered at Police Station Jhajjar, District Jhajjar and the cross-case bearing FIR No. 364, dated 19.12.2019, under Sections 148, 149, 452, 323, 325, 341 and 506 IPC, registered at Police Station Jhajjar, District Jhajjar and all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom are quashed qua the petitioners on the basis of compromise dated 22.06.2020 (Annexure P-2) in both the petitions.

A copy of this order be placed on the file of the connected petition.

**SANDEEP MOUDGIL
JUDGE**

25th AUGUST, 2022.
sham

- | | |
|---------------------------------|----------|
| 1. Whether speaking/ reasoned : | Yes / No |
| 2. Whether reportable : | Yes / No |