

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

Sr. No.219

CRM-M-38830-2021

Date of decision : 03.03.2022

Takdir

..... Petitioner

VERSUS

State of Haryana

..... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK SIBAL

Present: Mr.Aayush Gupta, Advocate, for the petitioner.
 Ms.Sheenu Sura, DAG, Haryana.

DEEPAK SIBAL, J. (ORAL)

The present petition has been filed under Section 439 Cr.P.C. for the grant of regular bail in FIR No.157 dated 16.02.2017 registered under Sections 148, 149, 186, 188, 307, 353 IPC and Section 25 of the Arms Act, 1959 (Section 120-B IPC added later on) at Police Station Jind City, District Jind.

Since in the petitioner's trial only two prosecution witnesses remain to be examined, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that at this stage he does not press the present petition on its merits and would be satisfied if the same be disposed of with a direction to the Trial Court to dispose of the petitioner's trial in a time bound manner.

Learned State counsel submits that she has no objection if the above alternate prayer made on behalf of the petitioner is accepted.

The petitioner is in custody for over two years and four months; he faces other criminal cases including cases under Section 307 IPC and for being declared a proclaimed offender; only two prosecution witnesses remain to be examined in the petitioner's trial and that every under-trial has a right to a speedy trial.

In view of the above, after accepting the alternate prayer made on behalf of the petitioner the present petition is disposed of with a direction to the Trial Court to dispose of the petitioner's trial within three months from the date next fixed before it in accordance with law.

It is clarified that in case the petitioner's trial is not decided in the afore period, the petitioner shall be at liberty to renew his prayer for regular bail.

03.03.2022

shamsher

[DEEPAK SIBAL]
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes / No
Whether reportable	:	Yes / No