

CRM-M-34521 (O&M)& connected matter [1]

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

Date of Decision: 18th August, 2022

(1) CRM-M-34521 of 2022

Raj Kumar

... Petitioner

Versus

State of U.T., Chandigarh.

... Respondent

(2) CRM-M-35237 of 2022

Satish Kumar

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Union Territory Chandigarh

... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN

Present : Mr. Sunil Sihag and Mr. M. K. Pundir, Advocates for the
petitioner(s).
Ms. Geeta Sharma, DAG, Haryana
Mr. C. S. Bakshi, APP, UT, Chandigarh.

AVNEESH JHINGAN, J.(Oral)

CRM-28437 of 2022 in CRM-M-34521 of 2022

This is an application for correction in the main petition,
wherein instead of State of U.T., Chandigarh, as respondent, State of
Haryana has been inadvertently mentioned due to typographical error.

For the reasons mentioned in the application, the same is
allowed. Instead of State of Haryana, State of U.T., Chandigarh be read as
respondent. Amended memo of parties annexed with the petition is taken on

record.

Main petitions

Learned counsel appearing for UT, Chandigarh appearing on advance notice submits that notices under Section 41-A Cr.P.C. were issued but could not be served as the petitioners were not found at their addresses.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submit that the petitioners are present in court. They have been identified by learned counsel for the petitioners. Notices issued under Section 41-A Cr.P.C. have been served on them in court.

Considering that notices under Section 41-A Cr.P.C. have been issued, there is no apprehension of arrest, the petitions are dismissed.

Photo copy of the order be placed on the file of connected case.

**(AVNEESH JHINGAN)
JUDGE**

18th August, 2022

mk

Whether reasoned/speaking
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No