

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

264

CRM-M-35236-2022

Date of decision : 12.12.2022

Harpreet Singh Grover

..... Petitioner

V/S

State of Punjab and Anr.

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE AMARJOT BHATTI

Present: Mr. Kamaldeep Singh Sidhu, for the petitioner.

Mr. R.S. Khaira, DAG, Punjab

Mr. Abhishek Lubana, Advocate for respondent No.2.

AMARJOT BHATTI J. (ORAL)

The petitioner- Harpreet Singh Grover has filed the instant petition under Section 482 of Cr.P.C. for quashing of FIR No.113 dated 27.07.2010, registered under Sections 406, 498-A, of IPC at Police Station Rama Mandi, District Jalandhar and all the subsequent proceedings arising therefrom, on the basis of compromise between the parties.

As per the facts of the case the complainant-Gursharan Kaur Arora filed written complaint against her husband and in-laws family that she is NRI, having permanent residence of Canada. She got married with Harpreet Singh Grover on 30.5.2004. The marriage was performed with great pomp and show. She was given dowry articles, electrical goods, furniture and other gift items. A sum of Rs.3,50,000/-

spent on marriage ceremony. Out of this wedlock, she has a daughter born on 01.01.2006 and a son was born on 17.09.2007 who was residing under the care and custody of the complainant. She was maltreated for bringing insufficient dowry. They were raising demand for a luxury car. The husband went to Canada in April 2006 and he started raising demand for Rs.1,00,000/-. Under the compelling circumstances the parents of the complainant paid \$2100 to Harpreet Singh Grover while he left for Canada. She also went to Canada in November 2006 and again Rs.1,00,000/- were deposited by her parents, as demanded by Harpreet Singh Grover. She was harassed and maltreated by her husband. He was also put behind the bars on account of his conduct. She came back to India and her husband also came to India in November 2008 and started residing with her. He again started raising demand for Rs.2,00,000/-. She lived in the matrimonial home with great difficulty up to 23.09.2009 and ultimately, she was turned out of the matrimonial home with a demand of Rs.40,00,000/- Ultimately, the present complaint was filed on the basis of which present FIR has been registered.

The petitioner filed this petition for the quashing of aforesaid FIR on the basis of compromise. The petitioner and respondent No.2 were directed to appear before the trial Court/Illaq Magistrate for recording their statements on the basis of compromise. The detailed report dated 20.09.2022 regarding compromise has been received from the the court of Judicial Magistrate 1st Class Jalandhar. The statement of complainant-Gursharan Kaur Arora has been recorded through V.C., where she confirmed the compromise with the petitioner.

She confirmed that this compromise has been effected without any

pressure, coercion from any side and she has no objection regarding quashing of FIR. The statement of father of the complainant-Jarnail Singh (Special power of attorney of complainant) is also recorded, where he confirmed the compromise with the petitioner.

The petitioner-Harpreet Singh Grover also confirmed this fact in his separate statement. The statement of ASI Lakhwinder Singh is also recorded who further confirmed that the accused is neither involved in any other case nor has been declared as proclaimed offender.

Therefore, from the report of Judicial Magistrate 1st Class Jalandhar, it is clear that the compromise has been effected between the parties without any pressure, coercion or undue influence, which is acceptable to both the parties. They have mutually settled all their disputes. Now they will be able to live peacefully and future litigation will also come to an end. Therefore, no purpose would be served with the continuation of criminal proceedings.

Therefore considering these facts the petition filed by the petitioner is accepted and FIR No.113 dated 27.07.2010, registered under Sections 406, 498-A, of IPC at Police Station Rama Mandi, District Jalandhar and the consequential proceedings arising therefrom are quashed.

Accordingly, the present petition stands disposed of.

(AMARJOT BHATTI)
JUDGE

12.12.2022
Sunil Devi

Whether speaking/reasoned:
Whether Reportable:

Yes/No
Yes/No