

133

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP-17490-2022  
Date of decision: 09.08.2022**

**AMANDEEP SINGH**

**...Petitioner**

**VERSUS**

**STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS**

**...Respondents**

**CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE JAISHREE THAKUR**

Present:- Mr. Mohit Garg, Advocate  
for the petitioner.

\*\*\*\*

**JAISHREE THAKUR, J. (Oral)**

This is a petition that has been filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of certiorari, for quashing the impugned recovery that has been effected from the salary of the petitioner.

At this stage, counsel for the petitioner limits his prayer to the extent that respondents be directed to treat the instant writ petition as representation on behalf of the petitioner and the same be decided expeditiously. He would submit that the petitioner would be satisfied in case a decision is taken on the representation in a time bound manner.

Notice of motion.

Ms. Deepali Puri, Addl. A. G., Punjab, who is present in Court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents and submits that the respondents will look into the matter and will take a decision on the representation of the

petitioner. Let sufficient number of copies of the complete paper book be supplied to her during the course of day.

Without going into merits of the case, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to the respondents to treat the instant writ petition as the representation of the petitioner and decide the same within a period of two months from the date of receipt of the certified copy of this order, in accordance with law and convey the decision to the petitioner. In case of failure to do so, the officer responsible for the lapse would be liable to costs of Rs.20,000/- from his personal pocket, to be deposited with the Punjab and Haryana High Court Advocates Welfare Fund.

**09.08.2022**  
Chetan Thakur

**(JAISHREE THAKUR)**  
**JUDGE**

*Whether speaking/reasoned*

*Yes/No*

*Whether reportable*

*Yes/No*