

218 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-40340-2021 (O&M)
Decided on: 14th March, 2022

Avtar Singh

Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN

Present: Mr. Gopal Singh Nahel, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Sandeep Kumar, DAG, Punjab.
Mr. Ankush Singla, Advocate for the complainant.

AVNEESH JHINGAN, J (Oral):

1. The petitioner is before this Court seeking regular bail in FIR No. 121, dated 18th June, 2021, under Sections 307, 323, 506, 148 and 149 of the IPC, 1860, registered at Police Station Sadar Dhuri, District Sangrur.

2. There is a version and cross version of the incident that took place on 17th June, 2021. The FIR was registered on the statement of Mahinder Singh-complainant. As per the allegations Avtar Singh(petitioner) raised a Lalkara and gave an iron rod blow on the leg and the left side of the head of Mahinder Singh. The injuries were declared grievous in nature.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is in custody since 27th June, 2021. The dispute was with regard to the passage. The investigation is complete, it is argued that none of the injuries was declared dangerous to life. Learned counsel for the petitioner relies

upon the fact that matter has been compromised.

4. Though the complainant is not impleaded as party, Mr. Ankush Singla, Advocate puts an appearance on behalf of the complainant and he admits the factum of compromise.

5. Learned State counsel on instructions from ASI Manjit Singh, submits that none of the injuries was declared dangerous to life, though the injuries inflicted by the petitioner were grievous.

6. Without commenting upon the merits of the case and on the compromise entered between the parties, considering the custody period, investigation is complete, petitioner has no criminal antecedents and there is a version and cross version of the incident, petitioner is granted bail subject to his furnishing bail bonds to the satisfaction of the Chief Judicial Magistrate/ Duty Magistrate concerned.

7. The petition is allowed.

8. Since the main case is allowed, pending application if any, also stands disposed of.

9. It is clarified that observations made hereinabove shall not be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

[AVNEESH JHINGAN]
JUDGE

14th March, 2022

Parveen Sharma

1. Whether speaking/ reasoned	:	Yes /No
2. Whether reportable	:	Yes /No