

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH.

CRWP-7610-2022 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 9.8.2022

Seema and another

....Petitioners

VERSUS

State of U.T., Chandigarh and others

....Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KARAMJIT SINGH

Present: Mrs. Anupam Bhanot, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

KARAMJIT SINGH, J.(Oral)

Counsel for the petitioners submits that petitioner No.1 is legally wedded wife of respondent No.4 but they are having strained relations and now petitioner No.1 is into live-in-relationship with petitioner No.2 and the same is being opposed by respondent No.4 who is extending threats to them and in this regard, representation dated 2.8.2022 (Annexure P-4) has already been given to respondent No.2 but the concerned authorities failed to take any action. Counsel for the petitioners further submitted that he will be satisfied if necessary action is taken by the authorities on the basis of the aforesaid representation.

Mr. P.S.Paul, Addl. PP, UT, Chandigarh appearing for the official respondents submits that in case any such representation is pending, the concerned authority will look into the matter and take action in accordance with law.

In view of above, without going into the merits of the case, this petition is hereby disposed of with direction to Senior Superintendent of

Police, U.T., Chandigarh to consider representation dated 2.8.2022 (Annexure P-4) and to take action if so warranted in accordance with law in case there is any threat perception to the petitioners.

However, any observations made in this order will not be construed as an expression of opinion on the validity of the relationship of the petitioners. It is also made clear that respondent No.4 is at liberty to avail appropriate remedy available to him under law against the petitioners. In case the petitioners have committed any offence, law will take its own course.

(KARAMJIT SINGH)
JUDGE

August 9, 2022
Paritosh Kumar
Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No