

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-20533-2016

Date of decision: 15.09.2022

Kapoor and others

.....Petitioners.

vs.

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents.

CORAM: - HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: - Mr. R.K. Chaudhary, Advocate, for the petitioners.

Mr. Sandeep Singh Maan, Addl. AG, Haryana.

.....

Harsimran Singh Sethi, J. (oral)

In the present petition, the grievance of the petitioners is that the services of the petitioners were regularized with effect from the year 2013 whereas the similarly situated employees were granted the same benefit from the year 2011 though, the same was notionally granted from 2011 till 2013 and the actual benefits were given after 2013 only. Learned counsel submits that the grievance of the petitioner is that they should also be treated in a similar manner by giving them the notional benefits from 2011 and actual benefits from 2013.

Learned counsel for respondent-State submits that keeping in view the reply filed, the petitioners have already been granted the said benefits.

In paragraph 5, the following averments have been made: -

"That it is submitted that "No work No pay" is a well settled law. It is mentionable that before the actual date of joining the Petitioners had been working only for 3½ hours per day during their part time Services as Class IV. So the petitioners have been given notionally appointments w.e.f. 01.04.2011 by the answering respondent. Actual financial benefits have been paid to the Petitioners from becoming regular class IV employees i.e. 01.03.2013.

Keeping in view the above, the claim of the petitioners has already been accepted as there is no replication to the said averment controverting the same. Hence, the present petition has been rendered infructuous and the same is disposed of as such.

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

15.09.2022
preeti

whether speaking/non speaking	yes/no
whether reportable/non reportable	yes/no