

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

Sr. No. 282

CRM-M-35040-2022

Date of decision : 06.12.2022

Suba Singh @ Sewa Singh

..... Petitioner

VERSUS

State of Punjab and others

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK SIBAL

Present: Mr.Vikas Gupta, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr.Charanpreet Singh, AAG, Punjab.

Mr.Vikasdeep Singh, Advocate, for the complainant.

DEEPAK SIBAL, J. (ORAL)

Through the present petition filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C. the petitioner seeks anticipatory bail in FIR No.102 dated 26.04.2021 registered under Sections 323, 324, 148 and 149 IPC (Section 326 IPC added later on) at Police Station Gate Hakima, District Amritsar.

On 08.08.2022 this Court had passed the following order: -

“Learned counsel for the petitioner inter-alia contends that after rejection of the petitioner's plea for anticipatory bail by this Court the petitioner and the injured persons have compromised the matter.

Mr.Vikasdeep Singh, Advocate appearing for the alleged injured persons admits to the compromise between his clients and the petitioner.

Notice of motion.

Ms.Monika Jalota, Deputy Advocate General, Punjab and Mr.Vikasdeep Singh, Advocate accept notice on behalf of respondent No.1-State and respondents No.2 and 3 respectively.

For arguments, adjourned to 05.12.2022.

In the meanwhile subject to the petitioner's joining investigation as and when called by the investigating agency as also abiding by the other conditions provided under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C, in the event of his arrest in FIR No.102, dated 26.04.2021, registered under Sections 323, 324, 148, 149, 326 IPC at Police Station Gate Hakima, District Amritsar, he shall be released on ad interim anticipatory bail to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer.

Before the adjourned date the State shall file a concise status report.”

Learned State counsel submits that in pursuance to the afore quoted order the petitioner has joined and cooperated with the investigating agency and that his custodial interrogation is not required by the State.

Learned counsel for the complainant submits that the petitioner and the complainant have amicably resolved their dispute.

In the light of the reasons stated in the afore quoted order, the statement made on behalf of the State as also the statement made on behalf of the complainant the order of this Court dated 08.08.2022, granting ad interim anticipatory bail to the petitioner is ordered to be made absolute.

06.12.2022
shamsher

[DEEPAK SIBAL]
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes / No
Whether reportable	:	Yes / No