

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRR-1643-2022

Date of Decision: 29.10.2022

Samunder

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARNARESH SINGH GILL

Present:- Mr. Kamal Deep Sehra, Advovate, and
Mr. Harwinderjit Singh, Advocate, for the petitioner.

HARNARESH SINGH GILL, J.

The petitioner challenges the order dated 07.06.2022 passed by the learned Additional Sessions Judge, Sonipat, framing charges against the petitioner under Sections 120-B read with Section 302 IPC and Section 302 read with Section 34 IPC.

Learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner was not named in the FIR; that the complainant had subsequently named the petitioner; that the petitioner was indicted on the basis of his disclosure statement and that there is no material on record substantiating the version of the prosecution regarding the complicity of the petitioner in the crime.

After hearing learned counsel for the petitioner, I do not find any merit in the present petition.

It is settled law that at the time of framing of the charge(s), the Court is to only see a prima-facie case. The pleas of the petitioner regarding his false implication and having been indicted on the basis of disclosure statement, can only be adjudicated upon on the basis of evidence led during the trial.

The counsel for the petitioner could not show any illegality or material irregularity in the impugned order so as to warrant interference by this Court in the present revision petition.

In view of the above, the present petition is dismissed.

29.10.2022
ds

(HARNARESH SINGH GILL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No