

108

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-18609-2021 (O&M)
Date of decision: 12.05.2022

JAI KISHORE

...Petitioner

VERSUS

HARYANA STAFF SELECTION COMMISSION

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE JAISHREE THAKUR

Present:- None for the petitioner.

Mr. Tapan Kumar, DAG, Haryana.

JAISHREE THAKUR, J. (Oral)

CM-13994-CWP-2021

Prayer in this application is for placing on record the copy of notice dated 14.09.2021 issued by the respondent-Commission as Annexure P-8 and for exemption from filing the certified copy of Annexure P-8.

For the reasons stated in the application, the same is allowed. Annexure P-8 is taken on record, subject to all just exceptions.

CWP-18609-2021

This is a petition that has been filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of an appropriate writ in the nature of mandamus, seeking to appear for the post of Sub-Inspector under advertisement No.3/2021 dated 15.06.2021, on the basis of fact that he had initially applied under advertisement No.6/2019 dated 09.06.2019, under category No.3.

The case as set up by the petitioner is that he had applied for the post of Sub-Inspector in the year 2019, which advertisement was

withdrawn and he was not able to apply under the new advertisement under a mistaken belief that all those persons who had applied in the previous advertisement, need not apply afresh. The petitioner moved a representation to the respondent to consider his application form which he had submitted but representation of the petitioner stood rejected by taking into account the fact that in terms of the fresh advertisement issued, the petitioner was required to apply afresh along with the proof of depositing application fee and once he had failed to apply online, he could not be given the benefit as claimed.

The matter was taken up on 23.09.2021, when the rejection order was made available to the learned counsel for the petitioner, who had sought time to get necessary instructions, however, he failed to do so and no one has put in an appearance on behalf of the petitioner thereafter. There was no representation on behalf of the petitioner on 15.12.2021 and 22.04.2022, and the said matter was listed for hearing today. The matter has been called twice, but today also no one has put an appearance on behalf of the petitioner, despite the matter having been shown in the urgent list.

Consequently, the present petition is dismissed.

While dismissing the matter, it may also be observed that the recruitment process has been completed and the result stands declared, so even the question of allowing the petitioner to participate in the said process would not arise in any case.

12.05.2022
Chetan Thakur

(JAISHREE THAKUR)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No