

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

346

**CRM-M-35100 of 2022 (O&M)
Date of Decision:-15.12.2022**

Gurmeet Kaur

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KARAMJIT SINGH

Present:- Mr. J.S. Dadwal, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. C.L. Pawar, Addl., A.G. Punjab.

KARAMJIT SINGH, J. (Oral)

The present petition has been filed by the petitioner seeking grant of regular bail in criminal case having FIR No.0123 dated 18.06.2022 registered under Section 419, 420, 465, 467, 468, 471, 120-B IPC Act 1860 at Police Station Tibba, District Ludhiana.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in this case at the instance of the complainant who alleged that the petitioner signed the concerned agreement to sell by impersonating as Geeta Rani, wife of Mali Ram, the owner of the property in question. He further submits that even the husband of the petitioner is also named as accused but he has been granted interim bail by this Court and that petitioner is in custody for last more than 05 months and is having no criminal history. So, request is made that the petitioner be released on regular bail.

The present petition is resisted by the State counsel who submits that the petitioner, alongwith her husband and other accused persons, conspired to sell the property belonging to one Mali Ram, and the petitioner impersonated as wife of said Mali Ram. However, the State counsel has not disputed the fact that after completion of investigation, the police has presented the challan against the petitioner who is in custody for last more than 05 months.

I have considered the submissions made by the counsels for the parties. All the offences are triable by the court of Judicial Magistrate Ist Class. After completion of the investigation, the police has presented the challan against the petitioner and as per custody certificate furnished by the State counsel, the custody of the petitioner comes out to be more than 05 months and it will considerable time from the trial to conclude. So, no purpose is going to be served by keeping the petitioner in custody for any longer period.

Accordingly, without commenting on the merits of the case, the present petition is allowed and the petitioner is directed to be released on bail subject to his furnishing bail bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Chief Judicial Magistrate/Duty Magistrate concerned.

15.12.2022
joyti

(KARAMJIT SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned / speaking?	Yes / No
Whether reportable?	Yes / No