

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Sr.No.123

**CRWP No.7583 of 2022
Date of Decision: 08th August, 2022.**

Muskan

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab & Others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA

Present: Mr. Vaneet Kumar Sharma Advocate,
for the petitioner.

* * *

MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA, J. (ORAL)

After arguing for a while, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that so far as the prayer of the petitioner regarding issuance of direction to the official respondents to take appropriate legal action against respondents No.3 to 6 is concerned, the petitioner would be availing the appropriate, alternative and efficacious remedy, as may be permissible to her under law, for this purpose and as regards her prayer qua the protection of her own as well as her family members' lives and liberty, she would move a fresh representation to respondent No.2-Commissioner of Police, Ludhiana, exclusively praying therein for the said protection only and he further prays that the said respondent be directed to look into and take appropriate action on the fresh representation of the petitioner within some specific time frame and the present petition may be disposed of accordingly.

Mr. Sandeep Singh Deol, learned Deputy Advocate General, Punjab, who has appeared on behalf of respondents No.1 and 2 in this case

in pursuance of the copies of the present petition having been sent to the respondent-State in advance, has no objection to the above-discussed submission as well as the prayer as made by learned counsel for the petitioner.

Resultantly, the petition in hand stands disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 that in case, the petitioner moves any fresh representation to him restricting her prayer therein to the protection of her own as well as her family members' lives and liberty only, he shall look into the same and if they (petitioner and her family members) would be found to be genuinely deserving the said protection then, he shall take appropriate action strictly in accordance with law, in the given set of facts and circumstances of the matter, preferably within a period of 10 (ten) days.

(MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA)
JUDGE

08.08.2022.
seema

Whether speaking/reasoned?	Yes
Whether Reportable?	No