

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

Sr. No.209

CWP-16678-2017 (O&M)
Date of decision : 14.12.2022

Baljot Singh

..... Petitioner

VERSUS

Panjab University, Chandigarh and others

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL

Present: Mr. N.S. Malmajra, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Gurvinder Singh, AAG, Punjab

Mr. D.I.S. Walia, Advocate, for respondents No.4 to 7.

SUDHIR MITTAL, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for respondents No.4 to 7 submits that the prayer in the writ petition is for return of the certificates of the petitioner. The documents have since been returned and thus, the writ petition has been rendered infructuous.

Learned counsel for the petitioner admits the factum of return of documents, however, he submits that the petitioner deserves to be compensated for the delay caused.

To explain the delay, learned counsel for respondents No.4 to 7 states that the petitioner attended classes for a short-while and then stopped coming. Thus, there was no delay on their part.

The question of delay being a question of fact, the petitioner would be at liberty to seek compensation by filing of a civil suit.

The writ petition is disposed of as having been rendered infructuous.

**(SUDHIR MITTAL)
JUDGE**

14.12.2022

Ramandeep Singh

Whether speaking / reasoned

Yes / No

Whether Reportable

Yes/ No