

[107] IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

[1] COCP No.2249 of 2020
Date of Decision : 16.05.2022

M/s R.H.G. Constructions, SCO No.7-8, 3rd Floor,
Jandu Tower, Millerganj, GT Road, Ludhiana through
its partner ...Petitioner

versus

Vikas Partap, IAS, Principal Secretary, PWD B&R
Department, Punjab, Chandigarh and othersRespondents

[2] COCP No.2250 of 2020

M/s R.H.G. Constructions, SCO No.7-8, 3rd Floor,
Jandu Tower, Millerganj, G.T. Road, Ludiana through
its partnerPetitioner

versus

Vikas Partap, IAS, Principal Secretary, PWD B&R
Department, Punjab, Chandigarh and othersRespondents

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. Walia

Present : Mr. R.K. Girdhar, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Aditya Sharda, Asstt. A.G., Punjab

B.S. Walia, J. (Oral)

[1] This order shall decide COCP No.2249 of 2020 and COCP
No.2250 of 2020, as the grievance with regard to violation in both cases is
in respect of common order dated 02.09.2020 in CWP No.2155 of 2020
and CWP No.2281 of 2020.

[2] Facts of the case are being taken from COCP No.2249 of
2020.

[3] Prayer in the petition is for initiating proceedings against the
respondents for intentional and willful defiance of order, Annexure P-1,
dated 02.09.2020, in CWP No.2155 of 2020.

[4] A perusal of order (Annexure P-1) reveals that CWP No.2155 of 2020 and CWP No.2281 of 2020, were disposed of vide orders dated 02.09.2020 by directing respondent Nos. 2 to 4 herein to consider the petitioner-concern's claims, as per its legal notices dated 10.12.2019 and representation dated 13.05.2020, and take appropriate action thereon and in the event of the petitioner-concern's claims being found to be lawful and correct, payments due to the petitioner be released within 04 weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of the order.

[5] Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the claim of the petitioner as contained in legal notices dated 10.12.2019 and representations dated 13.05.2020 have been decided and the petitioner has been released payment as per entitlement, therefore, the petitioner is not interested in pursuing the instant petition and may be permitted to withdraw the same.

[6] In view of the position noted above, as well as statement of learned counsel for the petitioner, the instant petition is *disposed of* as not calling for any action against the respondents under the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971.

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

16.05.2022

'Rajneesh'

Whether speaking/ reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No