

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH**

**Sr. No.231**

**CWP No.14938 of 2018**

**Date of Decision: 08.08.2022**

Saroj Yadav

.... Petitioner

Versus

The Presiding Officer,  
Industrial Tribunal-cum-Labour Court-II,  
Gurugram and others

... Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI**

Present: Mr. Sandeep Bhatia, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. R.S.Budhwar, Addl. A.G.Haryana.

Mr. Sahil Garg, Advocate for  
Mr. Dheeraj Jain, Senior Panel Counsel, Union of India.

\*\*\*

**HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J. (ORAL)**

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in the present petition, the challenge is to the order dated 15.11.2017 (Annexure P-4) passed by Labour Court, by which an appeal preferred by the petitioner for implementation of the recommendation of the Local Complaint Committee as recommended by report dated 26.07.2016 (Annexure P-1), under Section 13(3)(i)(ii) of Sexual Harassment of Women at Workplace (Prevention, Prohibition and Redressal) Act, 2013 was rejected.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the said Court did not have authority and the petitioner had mistakenly approached the said Court, hence, the petitioner be allowed to avail appropriate remedy available to her before appropriate authority in accordance with law and accordingly, the present petition may kindly be disposed of as having been not pressed any further, with the aforesaid liberty.

Ordered accordingly.

**(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)  
JUDGE**

08.08.2022

Maninder

|                           |   |        |
|---------------------------|---|--------|
| Whether speaking/reasoned | : | Yes/No |
| Whether reportable        | : | Yes/No |