

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No.16530 of 2017
Date of Decision:-04.05.2022**

Ram Parkash

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present:- Ms. Satpreet Grewal Kapila, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Navdeep Chhabra, DAG, Punjab.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI J.(Oral)

Learned counsel for the respondents submits that the impugned order, which has been passed by the disciplinary authority in pursuance to disciplinary proceedings, is an appealable order, which remedy has not been invoked by the petitioner.

Faced with this situation, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner be allowed to withdraw this petition with liberty to avail such remedy.

Ordered accordingly.

It is made clear that in case the petitioner prefers an appeal, the same be heard and decided on merits and not to be dismissed on the ground of delay, keeping in view the fact that petitioner was pursuing this petition for the last five years.

May 04, 2022
Vijay Asija

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether Reportable

Yes / No
Yes / No