

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(217)

CWP No. 19976 of 2016
Date of Decision : 16.03.2022

Om Parkash

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Amarjeet Beniwal, Advocate and
Mr. Parveen, Advocate for
Mr. Jagjeet Beniwal, Advocate the petitioner.

Mr. Narender Singh Behgal, A.A.G., Haryana.

Harsimran Singh Sethi J. (Oral)

In the present petition, the grievance which is being raised by the petitioner is that he is entitled for the revised pay scale as per order dated 07.11.2012 (Annexure P-1), according to which, the petitioner is entitled for the grant of pay scale of ₹1200/- - ₹2040/- w.e.f. 01.05.1990 as depicted in Annexure P-1, implementation of which is sought for in this petition.

After notice of motion, the respondents have filed the reply, wherein, they have mentioned that since 14.08.1987, petitioner is already getting the pay scale of ₹1200/- - ₹2040/- while working on the post of Fitter and his name has wrongly been mentioned in the order dated 07.11.2012 (Annexure P-1). Learned counsel for the respondents submits

that in the present petition, the petitioner is praying for the grant of pay scale of ₹1200/- - ₹2040/- w.e.f. 01.05.1990, whereas, he is already availing the said benefit since 14.08.1987.

There is no controvert of the said fact. That being so, once the petitioner is already getting the pay scale from a date prior to than the one claimed in the present petition, no orders are required to be passed in the present petition.

Disposed of.

March 16, 2022
kanchan

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes

Whether reportable : No