

249

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-17487-2020

Date of Decision: 26.04.2022

Baljeet Singh Nain

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Deepak Sonak, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Narender Singh Behgal, AAG, Haryana.

Mr. Harneet Singh Oberoi, Advocate,
for respondent No.4.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI J. (ORAL)

In the present petition, prayer of the petitioner is that the petitioner is entitled for the pay scale of the post of Sub-Divisional Engineer, on which post, the petitioner had discharged the Current Duty Charge, prior to his regular promotion.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the claim of the petitioner, as raised in the present petition, is covered by the decision of a co-ordinate Bench of this Court passed in **CWP-9083-2015**, titled as ***“Shri Bhagwan Sharma and others Vs. State of Haryana and others”***, decided on 22.11.2017 (Annexure P-2), which case also relates to the same Department and to the colleagues of the petitioner, who were also given the Current Duty Charge on the same post as of the petitioner.

Learned State counsel has not been able to dispute the aforesaid

facts stated by learned counsel for the petitioner and concedes that the

question of law, as raised in the present petition, is covered by the decision of a co-ordinate Bench of this Court, passed in **Shri Bhagwan Sharma's case** (supra).

Keeping in view the above and also the fact that the parties are *ad idem* that the question of law, as raised in the present petition, is squarely covered by the decision of the co-ordinate Bench, passed in **Shri Bhagwan Sharma's case** (supra), the present petition is also disposed of in the same terms and conditions as of the judgment passed in **Shri Bhagwan Sharma's case** (supra).

Disposed of in the above terms.

26.04.2022*Apurva***(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE**

1. Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes
2. Whether reportable : No