

**IN THE PUNJAB AND HARYANA HIGH COURT AT
CHANDIGARH**

406

**CWP-17264-2022
Date of Decision: 15.09.2022**

KAMLESH KAUR

... Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD S. BHARDWAJ.

Present: Mr. Chander Shekhar Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Pankaj Mulwani, DAG, Haryana
for the respondents No.1.

Mr. R.D. Bawa, Advocate with
Mr. Dipanshu Kapur, Advocate
for respondents No.2 to 5.

VINOD S. BHARDWAJ, J. (ORAL)

The instant petition has been filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of the India for issuance of a writ in the nature of Mandamus directing the respondents No.2 to 5 to pay the compensation to the tune of Rs.50,00,000/- on account of death of Naib Singh, husband of the petitioner, who died due to electrocution alongwith interest @ 18% per annum w.e.f. 13.08.2013.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the husband of the petitioner namely Naib Singh was an agriculturist and used to take land on lease. On 30.03.2019 at about 11:30 A.M, when he was working in the fields with his son Lakhwinder Singh, he fell down near the transformer due to touching of supporting wire of the transformer. Resultantly, he received electric shock and died at the spot due to electrocution. A DDR no.33 dated 30.03.2019 was also registered at Police Station Saha.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of respondents contends that the incident is dated 30.03.2019 and that the policy dated 18.05.2017 notified by the UHBVNL relating to compensation to the victims for fatal/non-fatal accidents was then in force and that in the event of the petitioner submitting her claim before the competent authority as per the policy, the same shall be considered and an expeditious decision in terms of the above policy shall be taken thereupon.

The aforesaid contention/offer is accepted by the counsel for the petitioner without prejudice to his rights.

The present petition is thus disposed of with the consent of the respective parties and without prejudice to their respective rights and without commenting on the merits of their respective claims granting liberty to the petitioner to approach the respondent authorities for seeking disbursement of compensation in terms of applicable policy.

Needless to mention that in the event of filing of such claim/representation by the petitioner before the competent authority as per the policy, the same shall be decided expeditiously by the competent authority preferably within a period of 04 months from the date of filing of such claim/representation, after granting an effective opportunity of hearing to the respective parties.

Accordingly, the present petition stands disposed of.

15.09.2022
rajender

(VINOD S. BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>: Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	<i>: Yes/No</i>