

220 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

Decided on: 22nd March, 2022

1. CRM-M-38454-2021

Balkar Singh

Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

Respondent

2. CRM-M-53091-2021

Harikesh

Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN

Present: Mr. D.S. Virk, Advocate for the petitioner
(in CRM-M-38454-2021)
Mr. P.S. Sekhon, Advocate for the petitioner
(in CRM-M-53091-2021)
Ms. Geeta Sharma, DAG, Haryana.

AVNEESH JHINGAN, J (Oral):

1. These two petitions are filed seeking regular bail in FIR No. 158, dated 4th October, 2020, under Sections 22-B, 22-C and 29 of Narcotic Drugs and Psychotropic Substances Act, 1985, registered at Police Station Guhla, District Kaithal.

2. One of the main ground pressed for bail is that petitioners are in custody for more than one and half year and trial is not progressing at a decent pace.

3. Learned State counsel on instructions submits that out of total fourteen witnesses, six have been examined, remaining witnesses are

official and an earnest effort would be made to examine the remaining witnesses within three months from the date fixed.

4. In view of the statement made by learned State counsel, these petitions are disposed of at this stage.

5. There is no doubt that in case a request is made before the court concerned for accommodating the date for examination of the remaining witnesses, the same would be considered in accordance with law.

6. Photocopy of this order be placed on the file of connected case.

**[AVNEESH JHINGAN]
JUDGE**

22nd March, 2022
Parveen Sharma

1. Whether speaking/ reasoned	:	Yes /No
2. Whether reportable	:	Yes /No