

COC-1588-2022

Date of Decision :15.12.2022

PARGAN RAM AND OTHERS

...Petitioners

versus

ADITYA GUPTA, DISTRICT REVENUE OFFICER,
ROPAR-CUM-LAND ACQUISITION COLLECTOR,
ROPAR AND ANOTHER

....Respondents

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. WaliaPresent : Mr. R.D. Bawa, Advocate, Mr. Randhir Bawa, Advocate and
Mr. Dipanshu Kapur, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Ayush Sarna, AAG, Punjab.

B.S. Walia, J. (Oral)

1. Prayer in the petition is for initiation of proceedings against the respondents for intentional and willful defiance of order, Annexure P/1 in CWP No.8303 of 2022 in case titled as Pargan and others vs. District Revenue Officer, Ropar-cum-Land Acquisition Collector, Ropar.

2. A perusal of order Annexure P/1 reveals that CWP No.8303 of 2022 was disposed of vide order dated 24.05.2022 in view of the statement of learned Addl. A.G., Punjab that application under Section 28-A, Land Acquisition Act, 1894 would be decided within 2-3 weeks from 24.05.2022.

3. Learned AAG has filed reply dated 09.09.2022 along with order, Annexure R-1/1 dated 08.09.2022 rejecting the claim of the petitioners for redetermination of the amount of compensation on the basis of order dated 10.11.2005 passed by the learned Reference Court, Rupnagar. Reply dated 09.09.2022 along with order, Annexure R-1/1

counsel for the petitioners, who on perusal thereof states that in the circumstances, he does not press the instant petition but prays for grant of liberty to the petitioners to challenge order, Annexure R-1/1 dated 08.09.2022 by way of appropriate proceedings in accordance with law.

4. In view of the position noted above as well as statement of learned counsel for the petitioners, the instant petition is *disposed of* as not calling for any action against the respondents under the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971, while granting liberty to the petitioners as prayed for.

5. Rule discharged.

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

15.12.2022
Amit

Whether speaking/ reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No