

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(317)

CWP-17292-2022

Date of Decision : October 12, 2022

Nidhi Gupta

.. Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and another

.. Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Raj Kapoor Malik, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. R.S. Budhwar, Addl. Advocate General, Haryana.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI J. (ORAL)

In the present petition, the challenge is to the continuation of the suspension period beyond the period of 90 days as no disciplinary proceedings have been initiated against the petitioner.

After notice of motion, the respondents have appeared.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respondents, keeping in view the written instructions from office of Advocate General, submits that appropriate recommendation for reinstatement of the petitioner has already been made to the competent authority and most likely, the petitioner will be reinstated by passing appropriate order on the recommendation within a period of next ten days.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that keeping in view the said statement of the learned counsel for the respondents, the present petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further but with liberty to revive the present petition in case no order is passed by the respondents.

Ordered accordingly.

October 12, 2022

harsha

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes

Whether reportable : No