

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

-.-

CR-4375-2019 (O&M)

Date of Decision : 23.03.2022

Ajmer Singh

...Petitioner

versus

Jail Singh

...Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE ALKA SARIN

Present : Mr. Anantdeep Singh Sandhu, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Dhirinder Chopra, Advocate for the respondent.

ALKA SARIN, J. (Oral)

Taken up through virtual mode.

The limited ground for challenge in the present petition is that observation of the Trial Court in para-4 of the order dated 25.01.2018 dismissing the injunction application would adversely affect the case on merits.

Learned counsel for the petitioner would contend that the said observations would come in his way and would have an effect on the merits of the case at the time of decision of the main case.

Learned counsel for the respondent states that the present is an interim order and would in no manner have any effect on the merits of the case. He has further pointed out to the last line of para-4 where it has specifically mentioned that *“this order would have no affect on merits of the main case.”*

Heard.

The only grievance of the petitioner in the present case is that the observations made in para-4 of the order of Trial Court would effect the decision on merits of the main case. The apprehension is totally unfounded inasmuch as in the last line of the paragraph it has specifically been mentioned that “*this order would have no affect on merits of the main case*”.

In view of the above, it is made clear that order dated 25.01.2018 being an interim order would have no effect on the merits of the main case.

Disposed off. Pending applications, if any, also stand disposed off.

March 23, 2022
tripti

(ALKA SARIN)
JUDGE

NOTE : Whether speaking/non-speaking : Speaking
Whether reportable : YES/NO