

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

222

**CRWP-8475-2020
Decided on : 17.01.2022**

Parul and another

. . . Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

. . . Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

(Through Video Conferencing)

PRESENT: None for the petitioners.

Mr. Manish Dadwal, AAG, Haryana.

VIKAS BAHL, J. (Oral)

The present Criminal Writ Petition has been filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for issuance of direction to the respondent Nos. 1 to 3 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners.

On 16.10.2020, a Coordinate Bench of this Court was pleased to pass the following order:-

“Inter-alia contends that petitioners are residing in live-in relationship but apprehending threat to their life and liberty at the hands of respondent nos.4 to 6.

Notice of motion for 18.12.2020.

In the meanwhile, respondent no.3 – S.H.O. Police Station Bilaspur, District Yamuna Nagar is directed to protect the life and liberty of petitioners, as per law.

However, it is made clear that this order may not be construed as an expression of opinion on the legality and validity of the live-in relationship of petitioners.

In case any criminal case is registered or to be registered against petitioner No.2, this order shall not be

any hindrance for the Investigating Officer to proceed according to law.”

No one has put in an appearance on behalf of the petitioners today nor any person had appeared on behalf of the petitioners on the last date as well.

It is, thus, apparent that the petitioners do not have any apprehension to their lives and liberty at present.

Keeping in view the abovesaid facts and circumstances, the present petition is disposed of as having been rendered infructuous.

However, in case the petitioners, who are living in a live-in-relationship out of their free consent and are major, have any apprehension to their lives and liberty in future, on account of any threat from the private respondents, then it would be open to the petitioners to move a representation to respondent No. 2-Superintendent of Police, Yamuna Nagar and respondent No. 2, after considering threat perception to the petitioners, would take appropriate action, in accordance with law.

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

January 17th, 2022

Mehak

Whether reasoned/speaking?
Whether reportable?

Yes/No
Yes/No