

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

215

CWP-15998-2017

Date of decision : 13.05.2022

Bikar Singh

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.. Respondents

CORAM :HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present:- Mr. Dinesh Sharma, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. T.P.S. Chawla, DAG, Punjab.

Dr. P.K. Sekhon, Advocate
for respondents No.2, 3, 5 and 6.

Ms. Tuneet Walia, Advocate for respondent No.7.

Mr. Mrigank Sharma, Advocate for respondent No.8.

Mr. M.S. Virk, Advocate for respondent No.9.

Anupinder Singh Grewal, J. (Oral)

The petitioner is seeking a direction to the respondents to allow him to join at the transferred place of posting.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner, who is working as Chowkidar-cum-Mali with respondent No.7/School had been transferred to respondent No.8/School. Although the petitioner had been transferred, he has not been relieved and not allowed to join respondent No.8/School. He also submits that the petitioner has not been paid back wages.

Heard.

The petitioner was working as Chowkidar-cum-Mali. He is stated to have been transferred to another school but neither he has been relieved nor allowed to join at the place of posting.

In view of the above, the petitioner is disposed of with a direction to the respondents either to permit him to work at the previous school or if he has to be transferred then he be relieved from the previous place of posting and permitted to join at the new place of posting. Needful be done within two weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

With regard to the claim of the petitioner for back wages, the petitioner shall prefer a representation to respondent No.2 who would consider and decide the same in accordance with law within a period of three months from the date of its receipt.

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

May 13, 2022

sonia gugnani

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	:	Yes/No