

217

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-19542-2016

Date of Decision: 27.05.2022

Laxmi Devi

..... Petitioner

Versus

Uttar Haryana Bijli Vitran Nigam Ltd. and others

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Naveen Daryal, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Arjun Dosanj, Advocate, for
Mr. C.S. Bakhshi, Advocate,
for the respondents.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that though in the reply filed by the respondents, it has been stated that the late husband of petitioner has already been extended the benefit of *ad-hoc* services rendered by him as well as the benefits of 1st and 2nd ACP, but in case any other benefit remains to be extended to the petitioner, in respect of the services rendered by her late husband, liberty be granted to her to approach the respondents by filing an appropriate representation, raising the said grievance.

Learned counsel appearing for the respondents submits that in case any such representation is filed by the petitioner, the same will be considered in accordance with law and an appropriate speaking order will be passed by the authorities concerned within a period of eight weeks from the date of receipt of such representation.

At this stage, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that keeping in view the submissions of learned counsel for the respondents, as recorded hereinbefore, no further grievance of the petitioner survives in the present petition and the same may kindly be disposed of as having been not pressed any further at this stage with the liberty as prayed for.

Ordered accordingly.

27.05.2022

Apurva

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

1. Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
2. Whether reportable : Yes/No