

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

111

CRM-M-35060-2022 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 08.08.2022

RAHUL KUMAR @ RP RATA

... Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARNARESH SINGH GILL

Present: Mr. Devender Arya, Advocate
for the petitioner.

HARNARESH SINGH GILL, J.(Oral)

Through this petition, the petitioner seeks anticipatory bail in case bearing FIR No.233 dated 07.07.2022, registered at Police station Sadar Kanina, District Mahendergarh, under Sections 148, 149, 307, 323 and 506 IPC and Section 25 of the Arms Act.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that though the petitioner has been named in the FIR, yet no specific injury has been attributed to him; that there is no firearm injury on the person of the complainant; that no recovery is to be effected from the petitioner; that the dispute is between one Vikash and the complainant and that co-accused, Vikash, has already been granted the concession of anticipatory bail by this Court vide order dated 05.08.2022.

Notice of motion.

On the asking of this Court, Mr. Tanvir Joshi, AAG Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State.

I have heard the learned counsel for the parties.

As per the prosecution version, 4-5 persons came in a Bolero car and had opened firing at the complainant. He was able to save himself by running. The person who fired at the complainant was Rahul Kumar @ RP Rata (the petitioner). The allegations against the petitioner are grave and serious in nature and the weapon and the Bolero car are yet to be recovered. Thus, to unearth the truth, the custodial interrogation of the petitioner is required.

In view of the above, no ground is made out to grant the concession of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

Dismissed.

08.08.2022

Aman Jain

(HARNARESH SINGH GILL)
JUDGE

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	:	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	:	<i>Yes/No</i>