

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Sr.No.115

CRM-M No.35171 of 2022

Date of Decision: 08th August, 2022.

Balbir Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana & Others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA

Present: Mr. Sandeep Parkash Chahar, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

* * * *

MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA, J. (ORAL)

After arguing for a while, learned counsel for the petitioner seeks permission to withdraw the instant petition while submitting that the petitioner would avail appropriate, alternative and efficacious remedy, as may be permissible to him under law, for seeking the redressal of his grievance, as set-forth in the present petition.

Mr. Apoorv Garg, learned Deputy Advocate General, Haryana, who has appeared on behalf of respondents No.1 to 4 in this case in pursuance of the copies of the instant petition having been sent to the respondent-State in advance, has no objection for the same.

Resultantly, the petition in hand stands dismissed for having been withdrawn accordingly.

**(MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA)
JUDGE**

08.08.2022.

seema

Whether speaking/reasoned?	Yes
Whether Reportable?	No