

**IN THE PUNJAB AND HARYANA HIGH COURT AT
CHANDIGARH**

234

CWP-18344-2021

Date of Decision: 02.12.2022

PRABHJOT SINGH

... Petitioner

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD S. BHARDWAJ.

Present: Mr. Dheeraj Kumar, Advocate for
Mr. H.P.S. Ishar, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Vivek Chauhan, AAG, Haryana.
Mr. Sachin Ohri, Advocate for respondent No.5.

VINOD S. BHARDWAJ, J. (ORAL)

The present petition has been filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India, 1950 for issuance of a writ in the nature of Certiorari for quashing of the impugned note dated 04.05.2015 (Annexure P-4), whereby the insurance scheme of the petitioner under Rajiv Gandhi Bima Yojna has been wrongly returned on the ground of delay.

Learned State Counsel contends that the present petition has become infructuous inasmuch as the respondents have already released the due payment under the Rajiv Gandhi Pariwar Bima Yojna. A total compensation amount of Rs.1,00,000/- has already been released i.e. Rs.50,000/- vide EPS No.0900057770 dated 09.03.2018 and Rs.50,000/- vide EPS No.0900119470 dated 15.07.2022.

Learned counsel for the petitioner does not controvert the same.

In view of the above statement made by the learned State Counsel, the present petition is disposed of as having been rendered infructuous.

The petitioner would, however, be at liberty to seek revival of the present petition in case the statement of the learned State Counsel is found to be false or incorrect, or any grievance of the petitioner still survives.

02.12.2022.

rajender

**(VINOD S. BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No