

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Sr.No.120

CRWP No.7464 of 2022

Date of Decision: 30.09.2022

Mohammad Shamsad

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA

Present: Mr. Mohd. Yousaf, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Kunwarbir Singh, AAG, Punjab
for respondents No.1 to 5.

MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA, J. (ORAL)

Mr. Jashanjot Singh Uppal, Advocate, has put in appearance on behalf of respondents No.6 to 10 in this petition and has submitted his Power of Attorney and learned State counsel has also submitted the Status-report on behalf of respondents No.1 to 4 (by way of the affidavit of the Deputy Superintendent of Police (H), District Malekotla) in the Court today and these documents are taken on the record.

However, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that as regards the prayer of the petitioner qua the protection of his life and liberty, he (petitioner) would move a fresh representation to respondent No.3-Senior Superintendent of Police, Malerkotla, exclusively praying therein for the said protection only and so far as the remaining relief, as sought by him in the present petition, is concerned, the petitioner would resort to the appropriate, alternative and efficacious remedy, as may be permissible to him under law, for this purpose and he further prays that

.....

respondent No.3 be directed to look into and take appropriate action on the fresh representation of the petitioner within some specific time frame and the present petition may be disposed of accordingly.

Learned State counsel as well as learned counsel for respondents No.6 to 10 have no objection to the afore-discussed submission as well as the prayer as made by learned counsel for the petitioner.

Resultantly, the petition in hand is hereby disposed of with a direction to respondent No.3 that in case, the petitioner moves any fresh representation to him restricting his prayer therein to the protection of his life and liberty only, he shall look into the same and if the petitioner would be found to be genuinely deserving the said protection, then he shall take appropriate action, in accordance with law, in the given set of the facts and circumstances of the matter, preferably within a period of two (02) weeks.

30.09.2022	(MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA)	
neetu	JUDGE	
	<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes</i>
	<i>Whether Reportable</i>	<i>No</i>