

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Sr.No.102

CRM-M No.34420 of 2022

Date of Decision: 05th August, 2022.

Noman

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA

Present: Mr. Rajiv Kumar Saini, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

* * * *

MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA, J. (ORAL)

After arguing for a while, learned counsel for the petitioner seeks permission to withdraw the instant petition while submitting that the petitioner would surrender before the trial Court concerned within one week positively and would move an application there for seeking the relief of regular bail and he further prays that the trial Court be directed to decide the bail application of the petitioner within some specific time frame.

Ms. Priyanka Sadar, learned AAG, Haryana, who has appeared in this case in pursuance of the copy of the present petition having been sent to the respondent-State in advance, has no objection to the afore-discussed submission as well as the prayer as made by learned counsel for the petitioner.

Resultantly, the petition in hand stands dismissed for having been withdrawn with a direction to the trial Court concerned that in case, the petitioner surrenders before it within the above-stipulated period and moves

the application for seeking the relief of regular bail, the same may be decided, strictly in accordance with law, in the given set of the facts and circumstances of the case, preferably within a period of five (05) days thereafter.

(MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA)

JUDGE

05.08.2022.

seema

Whether speaking/reasoned?	Yes
Whether Reportable?	No