

208

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-20166-2015

Date of Decision: 26.04.2022

Amrit Lal Aggarwal

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and another

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Shrey Goyal, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Raman Kumar Sharma, Additional A.G., Haryana.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that though the benefit, as being claimed by the petitioner in the present petition, has already been extended to him, but the same has only been extended from 06.02.2015, whereas the claim of the petitioner of the said benefit is w.e.f. 01.01.2006.

Learned State counsel submits that the petitioner has been granted the benefit under the Instructions dated 06.02.2015, issued by the Government of Haryana, Finance Department (Annexure P-5), and as per Clause 8 of the said Instructions dated 06.02.2015, the order is to take effect prospectively from the date of issue of the Memorandum and there will be no change in the revised pension from 01.01.2006 to 05.02.2015. Learned State counsel further submits that the said Clause 8 of the Instructions dated 06.02.2015 is not challenged by the petitioner in the present petition.

Faced with this situation, learned counsel for the petitioner

submits that he may kindly be permitted to withdraw the present petition with liberty to file a fresh one challenging the said Clause 8 of the Instructions dated 06.02.2015 (Annexure P-5), so as to claim the arrears from 01.01.2006 till February, 2015.

Ordered accordingly.

26.04.2022

Apurva

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

1. Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes
2. Whether reportable : No