

205

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-34211-2022
Date of decision : 13.12.2022

Charanpreet Singh @ Charandeep Singh @ Chandeeep Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present: Mr. Vaibhav Narang, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Tarun Aggarwal, Sr. DAG, Punjab.

VIKAS BAHL, J. (ORAL)

Prayer in the present petition is for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in FIR No.0026 dated 26.03.2022 registered under Sections 452, 323, 148, 149 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 at Police Station Ramdas, District Amritsar Rural.

On 04.08.2022, a Coordinate Bench of this Court was pleased to pass the following order:-

“1. Notice of motion.

2. Mr. M.S.Nagra, AAG, Punjab, waives service on behalf of the respondent-State.

3. In the meantime, and, till further orders, the bail applicantpetitioner may not be arrested, by the arresting officer. However, subject to the bail applicant-petitioner furnishing personal and surety bonds in the sum of Rs. 50,000/- each, to the satisfaction of the arresting officer. The bail applicant shall also give an undertaking before the arresting officer, that as and when he is summoned through a written Hukamnama, he

shall ensure his rendering his cooperation to the investigating officer.

4. It is clarified that all the relevant recoveries be ensured to be forthwith effected by the present bail petitioner to the investigating officer concerned.

5. For an intimation with respect to the above condition being meted compliance, by the present bail petitioner, to the investigating officer concerned, the matter be listed on 26.09.2022.

Sd/-(SURESHWAR THAKUR)

04.08.2022

JUDGE”

Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that in pursuance of the abovesaid order dated 04.08.2022, the petitioner has joined the investigation.

Learned counsel for the State, on instructions from ASI Nirmal Singh, has submitted that the petitioner has joined the investigation and is not required for any further custodial interrogation.

Keeping in view the abovesaid facts and circumstances moreso, the facts which have been noticed in abovesaid order dated 04.08.2022 and also the fact that the petitioner has joined the investigation and is not required for further custodial interrogation, the present petition is allowed and the interim order dated 04.08.2022 is ordered to be made absolute.

However, nothing stated above shall be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case and the trial would proceed independently of the observations made in the present case which are only for the purpose of adjudicating the present bail application.

13.12.2022

Pawan

**(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned:- Yes/No

Whether reportable:- Yes/No