

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANIDGARH**

243

**CRWP No.7429 of 2022
Date of Decision: 12.09.2022**

Budh Ram

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NAMIT KUMAR

Present: Mr. G.S. Verma, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Neeraj Poswal, AAG, Haryana.

Mr. Sandeep Lathar, Advocate
for respondent No.4.

NAMIT KUMAR, J (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in terms of the orders dated 02.08.2022, 09.08.2022 and 17.08.2022, a draft of Rs.25,000/- has been deposited with the Haryana State Legal Services Authority, Panchkula on 29.08.2022 and Rs.25,000/- has been given to the detenue-Rekha in cash in Court. On the last date of hearing i.e. 17.08.2022, the detenue-Rekha, who was present in Court, made a statement that she has not solemnized the marriage with the petitioner-Budh Ram and currently, she is residing with her parents. Today, she is also present in Court and reiterates her statement given on 17.08.2022.

Fresh status report by way of affidavit of Ashish Kumar, Deputy Superintendent of Police, Safidon dated 08.09.2022, has been filed by learned State counsel, which is taken on record, wherein in para 4 of the status report, it has been submitted that on 16.06.2022, Kari Mohammad

Mohatram son of Janab Hafiz Mohammad Ilias, resident of 140 Shahpur Road, Ludhiana has joined the investigation of the case and his statement u/s 161 Cr.P.C. was recorded to the effect that the Nikhnama produced by the petitioner-Budhram was not issued by him on 13.04.2022 and the same is forged.

Para 4 of the status report reads as under:-

“That on 16.06.2022, Kari Mohammad Mohatram son of Janab Hafiz Mohammad Ilias, resident of 140 Shahpur Road, Ludhiana was joined in the investigation of the case and his statement u/s 161 Cr.P.C. was recorded. He disclosed that the Nikhnama produced by the petitioner-Budhram was not issued by him on 13.04.2022 and the same is forged and Jamamasjid Ludhiana have no concerned with the same upon which sections 420, 467, 468 IPC were invoked in this case.”

In view of the above, no further orders are required to be passed in the present petition.

Petition is disposed of accordingly.

12.09.2022
D.Bansal

(NAMIT KUMAR)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No