

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**(215) CWP-21874-2015 (O&M)
Date of Decision : December 06, 2022**

Subhash Chand .. Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others .. Respondents

(215-2) CWP-24582-2015 (O&M)

Anita .. Petitioner

Versus

Haryana Staff Selection Commission and others .. Respondents

(215-3) CWP-20103-2015

Arun Kumar and another .. Petitioners

Versus

**State of Haryana Thr Secretary to the Govt of Haryana and anr
.. Respondents**

(215-4) CWP-20058-2015

Raghubir .. Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and another .. Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

**Present: Mr. R.K. Malik, Sr. Advocate, with
Mr. Sachmeet Singh Randhawa, Advocate, for the petitioner
in CWP No.21874 of 2015.**

Mr. Jasbir Mor, Advocate, for the petitioner
in CWP No.24582 of 2015.

Mr. Sanjeev Majra, Advocate, for the petitioners
in CWP No.20103 of 2015.

Mr. Devender Arya, Advocate, for the petitioner
in CWP No.20058 of 2015.

Mr. Rajesh Gaur, Additional Advocate General, Haryana.

Mr. R.K. Chaudhary, Advocate, for
Mr. Shivam Malik, Advocate,
for respondent No.4 in CWP No.21874 of 2015,
respondent No.28 in CWP No.24582 of 2015,
respondents No. 5 and 6 in CWP No.20103 of 2015 and
respondent No.3 in CWP No.20058 of 2015.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI J. (ORAL)

By this common order, four writ petitions, the details of which
have been given in the heading, are being disposed of as all the petitions
involve the same question of law on similar facts.

CM-13875-CWP-2016

As prayed for, the application is allowed.

CWP-21874-2015 and other connected cases

Learned senior counsel for the petitioner submits that the
petitioner competed for the post of PGT Hindi as advertised by the
respondents vide Advertisement No.6 of 2006 but certain grievance of the
petitioner exist qua the said selection as the written examination was
conducted in a manner not envisaged under law. Learned senior counsel for
the petitioner further submits that in the written examination, there were
certain questions, which raised doubt qua their correct answers as depicted
in the answer key and despite the fact that the petitioner raised objection qua
those very questions but as those were again sent to the Chief Examiner,
none of the said objections were accepted by the respondents, which

grievance exist even as of now.

Learned senior counsel further submits that though the selection process has already attained finality and even the candidates have already been appointed but the petitioner's grievance exist even as of now and the petitioner intends to approach the respondent-Commission raising a grievance that the objections qua certain questions, which have not been accepted by the Chief Examiner, be sent to another Expert for independent opinion and the respondent-Commission may kindly be directed to decide the said grievance in a time bound manner by passing an appropriate speaking order.

Learned senior counsel for the petitioner submits that the representation has already been filed by the petitioner, a copy of which has been attached with the CM-13875-CWP-2016, which may be read as representation on behalf of the petitioner to be decided.

Learned State counsel submits that in case, any representation is filed by the petitioner(s) raising any grievance or if already filed, the same will be dealt with in accordance with law and terms and conditions of the advertisement and appropriate order on the prayer of the petitioner(s) will be passed within a period of eight weeks of the receipt of any such representation and in case, after the decision, the petitioner(s) are found entitled for any relief, the same will also be extended to the petitioner(s) without any further delay.

Learned counsel for the petitioner(s) submits that keeping in view the statement of the learned State counsel, the present petitions may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further.

Ordered accordingly.

As the main writ petitions have been disposed of, applications i.e. CM-16088-CWP-2015 and CM-16031-CWP-2015 also stand disposed of.

A photocopy of this order be placed on the file of other connected case.

December 06, 2022

harsha

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes

Whether reportable : No