

CRM-M-38138-2021

DECIDED ON: 30th SEPTEMBER, 2022

SAGAR KAKKAR

.....PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ANOTHER

.....RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP MOUDGIL.

Present: Mr. Gursimran Singh Madaan, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Aman Dhir, DAG, Punjab.

SANDEEP MOUDGIL, J (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the present petition has been rendered infructuous as in pursuance of the order dated 15.09.2021, the petitioner has appeared before the trial Court and joined the proceedings. He further submits that the petitioner was granted interim bail and the matter with regard to the cheque in question to the tune of Rs.2,00,000/- has been settled and the complaint under Section 138 of Negotiable Instruments Act, 1881 has been withdrawn by the complainant.

Learned State counsel submits that vide order dated 15.09.2021 the petitioner was also directed to deposit a sum of Rs.1,00,000/- before the trial Court, which the trial Court was to keep in a Fixed Deposit in a Nationalized Bank for a period of six months with instructions for automatic renewal.

From the perusal of order dated 15.09.2021, it is crystal clear

that the said amount was ordered to be released to the parties alongwith accrued interest whosoever is entitled for the same.

The intent of the order is evident to conclude that condition of deposit of amount of Rs.1,00,000/- was to see *bona-fide* of the petitioner and to ensure his presence, which resulted actually into settlement of complaint.

In view of the discussions made hereinabove and the fact that since the present petition has been rendered infructuous, no fruitful purpose would be served by continuing with the present proceedings.

Disposed of as having been rendered infructuous.

(SANDEEP MOUDGIL)
JUDGE

30th SEPTEMBER, 2022

sham

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>