

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-38522-2021 (O&M)
Date of decision: 14.07.2022

Prabhjot Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARNARESH SINGH GILL

Present:- Ms. Jarnail Kaur Dhaliwal, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Ajay Pal Singh Gill, DAG Punjab.

Mr. G.S. Bawa, Advocate for the complainant.

HARNARESH SINGH GILL, J. (ORAL)

CRM-20203-2022

By way of the present application, the applicant-petitioner prays for incorporation of Sections 467 and 468 IPC in the cause title as also in the prayer clause of the main petition, for the reason that such offence was added by the prosecution at a later stage than the *ad interim* bail granted to the petitioner by a Coordinate Bench of this Court vide order dated 08.10.2021.

Learned State counsel and learned counsel for the complainant, submit that they have no objection to the prayer made.

Accordingly, the application is allowed. Registry is directed to incorporate Sections 467 and 468 IPC in the cause title as also in the prayer clause of the petition.

Main case:

Through the instant petition under Section 438 Cr.P.C., the petitioner seeks anticipatory bail in case FIR No. 58 dated 23.02.2021,

registered under Sections 420, 120-B, 467 and 468 IPC, at Police Station B Division, District Amritsar.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the amount of Rs. 90,000/- i.e. 50 per cent of the due amount has already been paid by the petitioner to the complainant and there are two other co-accused in the present case.

This fact is not disputed by the learned counsel for the complainant.

Vide order dated 08.10.2021, *ad interim* anticipatory bail was granted to the petitioner.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that pursuant to the aforesaid order dated 08.10.2021, the petitioner has joined the investigation.

Learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Bagicha Singh, submits that the petitioner has joined the investigation and is not required for any further investigation.

I have heard the learned counsel for the parties.

In view of the above, while directing that the ad-interim bail granted to the petitioner vide order dated 08.10.2021, be also considered to have been granted for the offence under Sections 467 and 468 IPC, added later on by the prosecution, the said order is hereby made absolute, subject to the conditions as envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

Allowed in the above terms.

(HARNARESH SINGH GILL)
JUDGE

14.07.2022
Mangal Singh

Whether reasoned/speaking?
Whether reportable?

Yes/No
Yes/No