

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

(226)

**CRM-M-34751-2022 (O&M)
Date of decision:- 09.11.2022**

Paramjit Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUVIR SEHGAL

Present:- Ms. Rahish Pahwa, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Jasmanpreet Singh, DAG, Punjab.
Mr. Balbir Kumar Saini, Advocate for the complainant.

...

SUVIR SEHGAL, J. (Oral)

CRM-30417-2022

Application is allowed as prayed for.

Testimony of the prosecutrix, who has been examined as PW-1 and cross-examination of mother of the victim are taken on record as Annexures P-3 and P-4, respectively.

Main case

Instant petition has been filed under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 (for short “the Code”) seeking grant of regular bail in FIR No.228 dated 14.11.2021, registered for offences under Sections 376 and 506 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 and Section 4 of the Protection of Children from Sexual Offences Act, 2012 (for short “the POCSO Act”), however, later on Section 6 of the POCSO Act was added, at Police Station Dharamkot, Moga, District Moga, Annexure P-1.

During the course of arguments, it has been submitted that the prosecution evidence has concluded, statement of the accused has been recorded under Section 313 of the Code and the trial is at the stage of recording of defence evidence. This Court is not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner considering the stage of proceedings.

Petition is dismissed.

**(SUVIR SEHGAL)
JUDGE**

09.11.2022

Kamal

Whether Speaking/Reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No