

114.

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP-17157-2022

Date of Decision: 05.08.2022

ANIL AND OTHERS

.... Petitioners

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

.... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE JAISHREE THAKUR

Present:- Mr. Nafeesh Ahmed, Advocate, for the petitioners.

JAISHREE THAKUR.J (Oral)

The petitioners herein are seeking a writ in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents to re-instate/re-enroll them on the post of Home Guard.

It is contended that without issuing any show cause notice or providing any opportunity of hearing, the services of the petitioners were dispensed with. They have approached number of times to the respondent department for redressal of their grievance, but to no avail. They have even served legal notices/justice demand notices (dated 01.04.2022 of petitioner No.1-Anil, and dated 04.04.2022 of petitioners No.2 & 3-Sombir and Dharmender) (Annexure P-8), but these also have not been responded to yet. Counsel would submit that at this stage, the petitioners would be satisfied in case their legal notices/justice demand notices be decided, in a time bound manner, in accordance with law.

Notice of motion.

At this stage, Ms. Kirti Singh, DAG, Haryana, who is present in Court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents and submits that the respondents will look into the matter and will take a decision on the legal notices/justice demand notices of the petitioners.

Let sufficient number of copies of the complete paper book be supplied to her during the course of day.

Without commenting on merits of the case, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to the respondents to decide legal notices/justice demand notices (dated 01.04.2022 of petitioner No.1-Anil, and dated 04.04.2022 of petitioners No.2 & 3-Sombir and Dharmender) (Annexure P-8) within a period of two months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order, in accordance with law and convey the decision to the petitioners. In case of failure to do so, the officer responsible for the lapse would be liable to costs of Rs.20,000/- from his personal pocket, to be deposited with the Punjab and Haryana High Court Advocates Welfare Fund.

(JAISHREE THAKUR)
JUDGE

05.08.2022

sanjeev

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No
Whether Reportable: Yes/No